requested that strict orders may be passed directing that such auction be held near the place where the property is situated.

Reply of Government.—Referred to the Chief Court.

Subject No. 72.
Central Nazarat System.
Messrs. N. Srikantaiya of Mysore City Municipality and B. Garudachar of Shimoga.

Summary of discussion.—It is requested that the Central Nazarat system may be abolished. The system does not work well, and deprives Courts of control over the process establishment.

Reply of Government.—Referred to the Chief Court for opinion.

Subject No. 73.
Sub-Registrars' Certificate on documents.
Mr. Shamiah of Kankanhalli Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Under the existing rules, Sub-Registrars, before whom documents are produced for registry only certify that the executants admit the execution of the documents. Orders may be passed requiring Sub-Registrars to certify not only the admission of the execution of the documents, but also the receipt of the amount in consideration of the sale or mortgage, &c., as the case may be, of the property specified therein.

Reply of Government.—Referred to the Inspector General of Registration. There is already a provision to this effect, *vide* section 58, clause (*c*), of the Registration Act.

LEGISLATION.

Subject No. 74.
Game Law.
Messrs. C. Vasudeva Rao of Chikmagalur and A. Sham Rao of North Mysore Native Planters' Association.

Summry of discussion.—(*a*) The license fee of Rs. 10 may be reduced as low as possible, say, to rupee one for shooting within the limits of a magani, Rs. 2 in a taluk and Rs. 5 in any part of the State. [Mr. Annaiya Pandit opposes any attempt to do away with licenses.]

(*b*) The old village mamul of shikar on certain festive occasions should not be interfered with.

(*c*) Permission should be given to shoot monkeys, which do much damage.

Reply of Government.—This will be dealt with in connection with the passing of the revised Game Rules, which are under consideration.

Subject No. 75.
Undesirability of Amildars being invested with Civil Jurisdiction as proposed in the Draft Amildars' Courts Regulation.
Messrs. B. Garudachar of Shimoga, Channagiri Ramiah of Shimoga, M. Ramachandra Rao of Chikmagalur, M. Venkatakrishniah and A. Anniah Pandit.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) It is very undesirable to invest the Amildars with civil jurisdiction in summary suits under section 9 of the Specific Relief Act as proposed in the new draft Amildars' Courts Regulation. The tendency nowadays is to deprive the executive of judicial functions as far as possible. As it is, the Amildars are given more than enough of powers, which, if not judiciously and honestly exercised, will make the poor raiyat complain very loudly, and to add to the existing powers by burdening them with civil functions is to make the poor raiyat suffer still more. Summary powers complicate matters by making it difficult to set right the decisions of the Amildars.